

Log No: XX

## AWARD OF CONTRACT WITHOUT COMPETITION

1. PROPOSER	
Lead Manager	Claire Lawrenson
Lead Director	Jane Pike
Date	03/02/2014

2. SERVICE
<i>Termination of Pregnancy – to provide medical and surgical terminations. The contracts require extension whilst a review of the services is undertaken and the anticipated procurement is completed.</i>

3. BACKGROUND AND STRATEGIC CONTEXT		
<i>Please detail the who, what, where, when and why</i>		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <i>BPAS Medical and surgical terminations at Dean Park, Bournemouth Medical terminations in Dorchester Referrals to specialist centres for later gestations</i> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <i>Marie Stopes Medical terminations in Dorchester</i> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>BPAS Medical and surgical terminations at Dean Park, Bournemouth Medical terminations in Dorchester Referrals to specialist centres for later gestations</i>	<i>Marie Stopes Medical terminations in Dorchester</i>
<i>BPAS Medical and surgical terminations at Dean Park, Bournemouth Medical terminations in Dorchester Referrals to specialist centres for later gestations</i>	<i>Marie Stopes Medical terminations in Dorchester</i>	
<i>Based on national specification with modifications based on engagement with local clinicians to include referral pathways and model of care.</i>		

4. PROPOSED CONTRACT	
Proposed Provider(s)	BPAS and Marie Stopes
Proposed length of contract	1 year
Proposed start date	01/04/14

5. FINANCIAL IMPACT
<i>Current tariffs are below the national tariff which will continue. Forecast 13/14 spend: Marie Stopes: £94,974.00 BPAS: £750,900.52</i>

	<p>BPAS ToP pricing 2013-2014.pdf</p>
---	---

<b>6. REASONS FOR NOT SEEKING COMPETITON</b>
<p><i>Extending current contract whilst a review of service is undertaken.</i></p>

<b>7. DUE DILLIGENCE OF PROPOSED PROVIDER (ARE THEY SAFE AND FINANCIALLY STABLE)</b>
<p><i>Both providers are large organisations which have good corporate and clinical governance and are financially stable.</i></p> <p><i>They are willing to work with us to resolve issues as they arise.</i></p>

<b>8. RISKS IDENTIFIED AND MITIGATION PLANS</b>
<p><i>We offer patient choice. There are unlikely to be other providers in the market place who will challenge this.</i></p>


<b>9. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND INVOLVEMENT</b>
<p><i>Contract extension to allow engagement.</i></p>

<b>10. PROVIDER INPUT AND MARKET RESEARCH</b>
<p><i>Market management event advertised. Providers expressed an interest. Benchmarking of neighbouring CCGs identified they contract with their acute trusts and these two providers.</i></p>

<b>11. INDEPENDENT SCRUTINY</b>
<p><i>Engagement with the CCP</i></p>

<b>12. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST</b>
<p>None identified.</p>

<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>NAME &amp; SIGNATURE</b>	<b>DATE</b>
-----------------	-----------------------------	-------------

<p>Approved/Rejected</p> <p>&lt;£250k Chief Finance Officer</p> <p>&lt;£500k Authorised Officer</p> <p>&gt;£500k CCG Governing Body</p>		<p>5/2/14</p>
<p>Comments</p>		
<p>Further Action</p>		